

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Joel A. Kubby 111014	7731	
	7/31	
EXAMINE	EXAMINER	
COLEMAN, WILI	LIAM D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2823		
	COLEMAN, WILL	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			th
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/683,533	KUBBY, JOEL A.	
	Examin r	Art Unit	
	W. David Coleman	2823	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the	correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 F</u>	ebruary 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)	wn from consideration. It election requirement. It is ented or b) is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	Carringer. Note the attached Ginet	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D		

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see interview summary, filed January 29, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) s 7-10, 12-17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kano et al., U.S. Patent 5,587,343.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being 2. anticipated by Kano et al., U.S. Patent 5,587,343.
- Kano discloses a semiconductor process as claimed. See FIGS. 1-34 where Kano 3. teaches the claimed invention.
- Pertaining to claims 7 and 21, Kano teaches a method for fabricating a micro-machined 4. device, comprising:

forming a substrate 1;

forming an insulation layer 2 over at least part of the substrate;

forming a silicon layer 4 over at least part of the insulation layer;

forming a silicon structure in the silicon layer;

Art Unit: 2823

and forming a gap 3 (as seen in FIG. 2)in the insulation layer that at least partially thermally isolates the silicon structure from the substrate, wherein a surface of the substrate under the gap in the insulation layer is maintained substantially un-etched and the gap in the resulting,. Micromachined device remains at least partially open.

- 5. Pertaining to claim 8, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 7, wherein forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that does not affect the substrate.
- 6. Pertaining to claim 9, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 8, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a silicon substrate and removing the portion of the insulation layer is with an etch that does not affect silicon.
- 7. Pertaining to claim 10, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 7, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a substrate of a first material, forming the insulation layer comprises forming a layer of a second material, and forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between the first and second materials.
- 8. Pertaining to claims 12 and 22, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 7, wherein forming the, substrate comprises forming a substrate of silicon, forming the insulation layer comprises forming a layer of a dielectric material, and forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises

Art Unit: 2823

removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between the dielectric material and silicon.

9. Pertaining to claims 13 and 24, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 7, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a substrate of silicon, forming the insulation layer, comprises forming a layer of silicon dioxide, and forming the gap in the insulation layer, comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between silicon dioxide and silicon.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano et al., U.S. Patent 5,587,343 in view of Islam et al., U.S. Patent 6,407,851 B1.

Kano discloses a semiconductor process substantially as claimed.

10. Pertaining to claims 14 and 25, <u>Kano</u> fails to teach a method for fabricating a thermal-optical switch, comprising:

Kano teaches,

forming a substrate;

forming an insulation layer over at least part of the substrate;

forming a silicon layer over at least part of the insulation layer;

forming a silicon structure in the silicon layer; and forming a gap in the insulation layer without affecting a surface of the substrate underlying the gap. However, <u>Kano</u> fails to teach wherein the gap of the resulting thermo-optical switch remains at least partially open. Islam teaches a thermo-optical switch. See FIGS. 1A-9 of Islam, where a thermo-optical switch is produced. In view of Isam, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the thermo-optical switch of Islam into the Kano semiconductor process because the movable mirror may comprise polysilicon doped with a sufficient amount to render it substantially conductive (column 6, lines 62-65).

- 11. Pertaining to claim 15, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 14, wherein forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that does not affect the surface of the substrate underlying the gap.
- 12. Pertaining to claim 16, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 14, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a silicon substrate and removing the portion of the insulation layer is with an etch that does not affect silicon.
- 13. Pertaining to claim 17, <u>Kano</u> teaches the method of claim 14, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a substrate of a first material, forming the insulation layer comprises forming a layer of a second material, and forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between the first and second materials.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,533

Art Unit: 2823

14. Pertaining to claim 19, Kano teaches the method of claim 14, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a substrate of silicon, forming the insulation layer comprises forming a layer of a dielectric material, and forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between the dielectric material and silicon.

Page 6

- 15. Pertaining to claim 20, Kano teaches the method of claim 14, wherein forming the substrate comprises forming a substrate of silicon, forming the insulation layer comprises forming a layer of silicon dioxide, and forming the gap in the insulation layer comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between silicon dioxide and silicon.
- Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kano, 16. U.S. Patent 5,587,343 in view of Islam et al., U.S. Patent 6,407,851 B1.
- Pertaining to claims 11 and 18, Kano discloses a semiconductor process substantially as 17. claimed. However, Kano fails to the method of claims 7 and 17, wherein removing a, portion of the insulation layer with an etch that is highly selective between the first anal second materials comprises removing a portion of the insulation layer with air etch having a selectivity of about 20:1 or greater.
- Given the teaching of the references, it would have been obvious to determine the 18. optimum thickness, temperature as well as condition of delivery of the layers involved. See In re Aller, Lacey and Hall (10 USPQ 233-237) "It is not inventive to discover optimum or workable

Application/Control Number: 09/683,533

Art Unit: 2823

ranges by routine experimentation. Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed ranges or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. *In re Woodruff*, 919 f.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Any differences in the claimed invention and the prior art may be expected to result in some differences in properties. The issue is whether the properties differ to such an extent that the difference is really unexpected. *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986)

Appellants have the burden of explaining the data in any declaration they proffer as evidence of non-obviousness. *Ex parte Ishizaka*, 24 USPQ2d 1621, 1624 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1992).

An Affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 must compare the claimed subject matter with the closest prior art to be effective to rebut a prima facie case of obviousness. *In re Burckel*, 592 F.2d 1175, 201 USPQ 67 (CCPA 1979).

Objections

19. The Examiner also objects to claims 22-26 since they depend on cancelled claims.

Conclusion

- 20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. David Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-1856. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:00 PM.
- 21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/683,533

Art Unit: 2823

Page 8

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

W. David Coleman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

WDC